

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

Reply to Northern Division Address

Catherine M. Stavlas, Clerk of Court David E. Ciambruschini, Chief Deputy

April 9, 2024

Anthony Edwin Hurley 1401 Shefford Road Towson, MD 21239

Re: Case No. 1:23-cv-02494-MJM

Dear Counsel/Party:

The Clerk received your Amended Complaint on April 9, 2024; however, it is deficient in the area(s) checked below and is being returned to you.

Noncompliance with L.R. 101 or 102 Member of bar has not signed the document.			Noncompliance with L.R. 102 and FRCivP 5 ☐ Certificate of service not affixed to document.		
	Business entities other than sole proprietorships must be represented by counsel.		Certificate of service not date signed.	d and/or not	
Non	compliance with L.R. 104 or 105	Mis	cellaneous		
	Discovery materials should not be filed unless in support of a motion or by court order.		Document does not contain or	riginal signature.	
	Discovery motion filed contrary to L.R. 104.7.		Document relates to more tha		
	Motion to compel filed contrary to L.R. 104.8.		Original and appropriate copi for each file unless the cases l consolidated for all purposes.		
			Offer of judgment should not Court until it has been accepted P. 68.		
		×	Other: Leave of court is required to file an Amended Complaint. Please file a Motion for Leave to File and attach a COMPLETE proposed Amended Complaint, pursuant to Local Rule 103.6.		
			/S/	4/9/24	
			hew J. Maddox	Date	
C	Ath an agranged /montry	Unit	ed States District Judge		

cc: Other counsel/party Return pleading letter (Rev. 02/2011)

Northern Division • 4228 U.S. Courthouse • 101 W. Lombard Street • Baltimore, Maryland 21201• 410-962-2600 Southern Division • 200 U.S. Courthouse • 6500 Cherrywood Lane • Greenbelt, Maryland 20770 • 301-344-0660

United Stated District Court



for the

District of Maryland

Anthony Edwin Hurley)
And)
Mary E. Hicklin-Hurley)
)
(Plaintiff(s))
)
v.) Civil Action No. 23-w-2494-GLR
)
)
Alberto Medina Lopez)
)
)
(Defendant(s)	

AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT

Comes now the Plaintiff, pro se, in the above-entitled case filing this amendment to include Plaintiff's spouse, Mary E. Hicklin-Hurley for the reasons as follows:

- 1. The Defendant has filed fraudulent 1099's against my spouse since 2011 and continues to file these egregious 1099 statements with the IRS as recently as 2023.
- 2. The 2023-1099 filed with the IRS by the Defendant falsely states income paid by the Defendant to my spouse in the amount of \$9,999,888,777; \$99,888,777; and \$3,999,988,877.70. See attached.
- 3. For the foregoing reasons stated in Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment and Plaintiff's Brief in Opposition to the Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment filed, Plaintiff hereby motions this court to add Plaintiff's spouse to the original complaint and all filings made by Plaintiff in the above-entitled case.
- 4. Accordingly the Plaintiffs motion this court for Summary Judgment based on the Plaintiffs identifying the fraudulent 1099's filed with the IRS by the Defendant and there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact unless the Defendant can provide evidence to support the purported earnings paid by the Defendant to the Plaintiffs.